Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview

1. Date of Submission: 2011-02-22

2. Agency: 007

3. Bureau: 17

4. Name of this Investment: DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI): 007-17-05-13-01-6555-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?: Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2002

8.

a. Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.

The Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) is the materiel solution that provides Joint Task Forces (JTFs) with a deployable command and control (C2) capability. The DJC2 system provides the Joint Force Commander (JFC) a mission critical, integrated family of C2 software applications and systems with which to plan, control, coordinate, execute, and assess military operations across the spectrum of conflict. DJC2 addresses a gap in mission capabilities by providing a JFC with a full range of interoperable, robust, and standardized systems and tools. DJC2 also provides interfaces with both Department of Defense (DoD) and commercial communications pathways to allow the JFC to receive and disseminate pertinent C2 information. The goal of this investment realizes a significant increase in C2 mission efficiency and effectiveness through delivery of a standing, readily deployable C2 capability along with process and applications standardization obtained at the lowest achievable total ownership cost. For FY12, DJC2 executes programmatic Technology Insertion and Technology Refresh, while continuing sustainment of fielded systems. Efforts reduce lift requirements while increasing both capacity and technological capability for the operational user.

b. Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title Link
NONE

9.

- a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment. 2003-02-18
- b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 2002-02-25

10. Contact information?

a. Program/Project Manager Name: *

Phone Number: *

Email:

b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): James B. Allen

Phone Number: *

Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

		(EStima	ites for BY+1 and beyo	nd are for planning pu	rposes only and do no	represent budget dec	isions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	•	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

		(Estima	ates for BY+1 and beyo	(In millions	mary of Funding s of dollars) rposes only and do no	t represent budget dec	cisions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

- 2. Insert the number of years covered in the column "PY-1 and earlier": 8
- 3. Insert the number of years covered in the column "BY+4 and beyond": *
- 4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

1.					Tabla	C.1 Contra	ete Teble						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		Internal			*	•	\$8,520,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2008-11-10	2011-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support the systematic replacement and procurement of technology refresh and technology insertion items and equipment.
Awarded		Internal			*	*	\$5,400,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2008-10-02	2010-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support the systematic replacement and procurement of technology refresh and technology insertion items and equipment.
Awarded		Internal			*	*	\$8,600,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2010-01-15	2012-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support recurring

Page 5 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

					Table I.0	C.1 Contra	acts Table						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
													programmati c technology refresh and technology insertion cycles.
Awarded		Internal			*	*	\$16,030,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2009-10-02	2010-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support requirements for DJC2 Operations Support Center (DOSC) a 24 hours, seven days a week help desk. Funds directly support fielded DJC2 systems mainteance, sparing and logistics efforts.
Awarded		Internal			*	*	\$8,510,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2009-10-02	2011-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support recurring programmati c technology refresh and technology

Page 6 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

					Table I.	C.1 Contra	acts Table						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
													insertion cycles.
Awarded	0	Internal			*	٠	\$8,163,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2010-10-01	2013-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support the systematic replacement and procurement of technology refresh and technology insertion items and equipment.
Awarded	0	Internal			*	*	\$3,737,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2010-10-01	2012-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support recurring programmati c technology refresh and technology insertion cycles.
Awarded	0	Internal			*	*	\$8,025,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2010-10-01	2011-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support requirements for DJC2 Operations Support Center

Page 7 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

					Table I.	C.1 Contra	ects Table						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
													(DOSC) a 24 hour seven day a week help desk. Funds directly support fielded DJC2 systems
Awarded	0	Internal			•	•	\$6,600,000.0	Other (none of the above)	Y	2010-10-01	2011-09-30	Not Competed	Funds support requirements for DJC2 Operations Support Center (DOSC) a 24 hour seven day a week help desk. Funds directly support fielded DJC2 systmes maintenance, sparing and logistics efforts.

Page 8 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			Table I.	C.1 Contra	cts Table					
Contr Statu		Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d.lf "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e.Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *
- g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

*

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

- 1.
- a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
- b.If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education. yes
- **2.** Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. The program is in the process of identifying cloud computing services that are applicable to DJC2.
- 3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2008-02-26
- 4.
- a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
- b.If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
- 5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2003-07-15
- 6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2007-01-15

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Curi	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Increment I System Design /Requirements Traceability - Pre Milestone C Requirements definition / design		*	\$6.5	\$6.1	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2005-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Non-deployable System Integration: Procurement and integration of development test components (DT & JFCOM Systems)		*	\$25.6	\$25.3	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2005-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Milestone C Preparation Activities: Including management functions and development of acquisition documentation		*	\$17.1	\$13.9	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2005-03-23	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Logistics Documentation and Training Preparation for Spiral 1.0 (Tech Manuals and Training for Spiral 1.0)		*	\$4.4	\$3.7	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2004-12-17	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I		*	\$12.1	\$12.0	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2006-11-09	2006-11-09	100.00%	100.00%

Page 11 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	completed and Ac	tual Costs to Curi	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Acquisition and Program Management functions during FY05										
Increment I System Engineering and S/W Development for Spiral 1.0 Deployable System		*	\$8.8	\$8.4	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-12-30	2006-01-31	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I System Test & Evaluation - Spiral 1.0 Dev. And Operational Tests as well certification tests for transportability and interoperability		*	\$7.3	\$7.1	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2006-06-30	2006-08-07	100.00%	100.00%
Increment Systems Integration - Spiral 1.0 Procurement and integration of the first two Operational Test Units to support Developmental Test (DT) and Operational Test (OT)		*	\$29.4	\$28.9	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2006-01-31	2006-02-04	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Integrated Logistics Support Logistics Management		*	\$0.9	\$1.0	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-12-30	2005-12-30	100.00%	100.00%

Page 12 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Support and development of Web portal for Logistics Support										
Increment I Acquisition and program management functions during FY06		*	\$5.8	\$5.9	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-12-22	2006-12-22	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.1 System Engineering & Software Development for Spiral 1.1		*	\$4.4	\$4.5	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-10-30	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.1 Systems Integration of components for Spiral 1.1		*	\$4.0	\$4.3	2005-08-15	2005-08-15	2006-10-15	2006-11-06	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.1 Test & Evaluation for Spiral 1.1 components, including certifications tests.		*	\$3.9	\$3.4	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-11-15	2006-12-15	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.1 Integrate Logistics Support updates		*	\$1.4	\$1.1	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2007-05-30	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.2 Requirement and Technical Assessment: Investigation and		*	\$8.9	\$8.4	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2007-05-10	2008-03-28	100.00%	100.00%

Page 13 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
evaluation of technologies and strategy for integration with Spiral 1.2										
Increment I Acquisition and program management functions for FY07		•	\$6.1	\$5.5	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-11-07	2007-11-07	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.2 Systems Engineering and Software development, as well as design for Rapid Response Kit (RRK) / Internet Protocol Convergence (IPC) components for DJC2		*	\$8.6	\$9.5	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-12-07	2007-12-07	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.1 Systems Integration of RRK and Network containerization, to reduce DJC2 footprint		*	\$5.9	\$5.6	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-11-15	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I Spiral 1.2 Test & Evaluation - RRK and Network containerization.		*	\$4.9	\$4.0	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-12-10	2008-01-28	100.00%	100.00%
Increment I FOC: Final Spiral 1.2 Design,		*	\$15.1	\$14.4	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Page 14 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Comparison	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Curr	ent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Integration & Testing										
Increment I Site Preparation, procurement & production of 4 systems, and Fielding of systems 1-6; in addition, procurement of RRK, IPC, and En Route components		•	\$84.7	\$81.3	2004-06-01	2004-06-01	2008-03-31	2008-12-04	100.00%	100.00%
Increment II Additional User Requirements Assessment, Testing, & Upgrades. Provide for the procurement/testi ng of components added to the configuration based on customer feedback (UPS upgrade, tent flooring, COMM refurb)		*	\$17.5	\$17.5	2005-07-01	2005-07-01	2009-05-31	2009-08-31	100.00%	100.00%
Operations and Maintenance Support for FY2008; to include Tier 1 and 2 Support, documentation updates, training, and supporting management		•	\$61.1	\$61.3	2004-02-01	2004-03-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Page 15 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
functions										
Initial Tech Insertion/Refresh to include design, and integration efforts to integrate new laptops, wireless and other technologies		*	\$6.1	\$5.8	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-11-30	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Production and Fielding of the Initial Tech Insertion technologies to include wireless and tech refresh of the laptops		*	\$7.7	\$7.0	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-12-31		100.00%	99.00%
Operations and Maintenance Support for FY2009; to include Tier 1 and 2 Support, documentation updates, training, and supporting management functions		*	\$18.2	\$17.3	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Follow-on tech insertion to include design and integration of infrastructure and architecture upgardes	DME	*	\$5.3	\$4.6	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-10-31		100.00%	91.00%
Production and Fielding on follow-on technologies to	DME	*	\$5.0	\$4.9	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-11-30		100.00%	99.00%

Page 16 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	completed and Ac	tual Costs to Cur	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
include RRK Upgrades, and IP Accelerator										
Operations and Maintenance support for FY2010; to include the Tier 1 and 2 support, Documentation updates, training, and supporting management functions.	DME	•	\$17.6	\$16.1	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Design and integration of technologies to support MLS, video system augmentation (sensors), and other system updates.	DME	*	\$4.3	\$1.6	2010-10-01	2010-11-01	2011-10-31		34.00%	33.00%
Production and Fielding of technical refresh items, along with Spiral 1.3 update	DME	*	\$8.5	\$3.6	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-12-30		56.00%	32.00%
Operations and Maintenance support for FY2011; to include Tier 1 and 2 support, documentation updates, training, and supporting management functions	SS	*	\$18.8	\$6.9	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		39.00%	38.00%
Technology	DME	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*

Page 17 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Curi	ent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Insertion/Refresh: Assessment, Acquisition and Testing (2012-2016)										
Technology Insertion/Refresh: Production & Fielding (2012-2016)	DME	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*
Post FOC Operations & Maintenance of DJC2 systems (2012-2016)	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*

- 2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion. N/A
- 3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis. 2008-09-22
- 4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation? yes

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems									
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding						

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only) Table II.D.1. Customer Table: **Customer Agency** Joint exhibit approval date NONE **Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers Shared Service Asset Title** Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011) **Shared Service Provider (Agency)** Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions): Partner Partner exhibit 53 UPI **BY Monetary** Fee-for-Service Agency (BY 2012) Fee-for-Service NONE Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced Name of the Legacy Date of the System **Current UPI**

Page 20 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section E: Performance Information

			Table I.E.1a. Performa	nce Metric Attributes			
Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Deployable System Application and Support Tools Standardization Index (DS_ASTSI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	DS_ASTSI=1 [100/100]	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Deployable System Application and Support Tools Standardization Index (DS_ASTSI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	DS_ASTSI=1 [100/100]	DS_ASTSI > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Deployable System Application and Support Tools Standardization Index (DS_ASTSI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

Page 21 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			2010	DS_ASTSI = 1 [100/100]	DS_ASTSI > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Deployable System Application and Support Tools Standardization Index (DS_ASTSI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	DS_ASTSI=1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Deployable System Application and Support Tools Standardization Index (DS_ASTSI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	DS_ASTSI=1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Deployable System Integration Index (DS_II), x/100	annual	Percentage (%) Reduction in JTF C2 burden on operational forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	DS_II=1 [100/100]	DS_II > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Deployable System	annual	Percentage (%)	Increase	Deployable Joint C2	2005-01-01

Page 22 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

		Integration Index (DS_II), x/100		Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces		capability	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	DS_II = 1 [100/100]	DS_II > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Deployable System Integration Index (DS_II), x/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	DS_II = 1 [100/100]	TBD.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Deployable System Integration Index (DS_II), x/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	DS_II = 1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Deployable System Integration Index (DS_II), x/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01

				Forces			
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	DS_II = 1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Response Time	Deployable System Standup Index (DS_SI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%)Improvement in JTF HQ "stand up" speed & effectiveness	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	DS_SI=1 [100/100]	DS_SI > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Customer Results	Response Time	Deployable System Standup Index (DS_SI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	DS_SI=1 [100/100]	DS_SI >.9	Met	2011-02-11
Customer Results	Response Time	Deployable System Standup Index (DS_SI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	DS_SI=1 [100/100]	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

Page 24 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Customer Results	Response Time	Deployable System Standup Index (DS_SI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	DS_SI=1 [100/100]	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Response Time	Deployable System Standup Index (DS_SI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	DS_SI=1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Standing Deployability Index (SDI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in RCC deployable Joint Force C2 across all mission areas	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	SDI=1 [100/100]	SDI > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Standing Deployability Index (SDI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01

Page 25 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	SDI = 1 [100/100]	SDI > .9	Met	2011-02-11
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Standing Deployability Index (SDI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	SDI = 1 [100/100]	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Standing Deployability Index (SDI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	SDI = 1 [100/100]	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Standing Deployability Index (SDI), X/100	annual	Percentage (%) Improvement in standardization of Joint Force Applications & support tools across RCC's & Operational Forces	Increase	Deployable Joint C2 capability	2005-01-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	SDI = 1 [100/100]	Results Not Yet Available.	Not Due	2010-09-20

Page 26 / 27 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

* - Indicates data is redacted.